

2-Chloro-N-(3,5-dichlorophenyl)-acetamide

B. Thimme Gowda,^{a*} Sabine Foro^b and Hartmut Fuess^b

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Mangalore University, Mangalagangotri 574 199, Mangalore, India, and ^bInstitute of Materials Science, Darmstadt University of Technology, Petersenstrasse 23, D-64287, Darmstadt, Germany
Correspondence e-mail: gowdab@yahoo.com


Received 19 December 2007; accepted 5 January 2008

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; $T = 299$ K; mean $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.005$ Å; R factor = 0.099; wR factor = 0.289; data-to-parameter ratio = 14.7.

The structure of the title compound, $\text{C}_8\text{H}_6\text{Cl}_3\text{NO}$, is closely related to that of *N*-(3,5-dichlorophenyl)acetamide and other amides. The molecular skeleton is essentially planar. The molecules in the crystal structure are stabilized by $\text{N}-\text{H}\cdots\text{O}$ and $\text{N}-\text{H}\cdots\text{Cl}$ intermolecular hydrogen bonds running along the a axis

Related literature

For related literature, see: Gowda *et al.* (2007, 2007a,b); Shilpa & Gowda (2007).



Experimental

Crystal data

$\text{C}_8\text{H}_6\text{Cl}_3\text{NO}$	$V = 967.7$ (3) Å ³
$M_r = 238.49$	$Z = 4$
Monoclinic, P_{2_1}/n	Cu $K\alpha$ radiation
$a = 4.567$ (1) Å	$\mu = 8.23$ mm ⁻¹
$b = 24.350$ (4) Å	$T = 299$ (2) K
$c = 8.903$ (2) Å	$0.60 \times 0.35 \times 0.13$ mm
$\beta = 102.20$ (2)°	

Data collection

Enraf–Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer
Absorption correction: ψ scan (North *et al.*, 1968)
 $T_{\min} = 0.063$, $T_{\max} = 0.354$
3732 measured reflections

1730 independent reflections
1606 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.073$
3 standard reflections
frequency: 120 min
intensity decay: 1.0%

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.098$
 $wR(F^2) = 0.288$
 $S = 1.39$
1730 reflections

118 parameters
H-atom parameters constrained
 $\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.57$ e Å⁻³
 $\Delta\rho_{\min} = -1.12$ e Å⁻³

Table 1
Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D-\text{H}\cdots A$	$D-\text{H}$	$\text{H}\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-\text{H}\cdots A$
N1—H1N···O1 ⁱ	0.86	2.37	3.019 (4)	133
N1—H1N···Cl3 ⁱ	0.86	2.68	3.482 (3)	156

Symmetry code: (i) $x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z + \frac{1}{2}$

Data collection: *CAD-4-PC* (Enraf–Nonius, 1996); cell refinement: *CAD-4-PC*; data reduction: *REDU4* (Stoe & Cie, 1987); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *PLATON* (Spek, 2003); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97*.

BTG thanks the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation, Bonn, Germany, for extensions of his research fellowship.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: OM2202).

References

- Enraf–Nonius (1996). *CAD-4-PC*. Version 1.2. Enraf–Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
Gowda, B. T., Foro, S. & Fuess, H. (2007a). *Acta Cryst. E* **63**, o2341–o2342.
Gowda, B. T., Foro, S. & Fuess, H. (2007b). *Acta Cryst. E* **63**, o4488.
Gowda, B. T., Kozisek, J., Svoboda, I. & Fuess, H. (2007). *Z. Naturforsch. Teil A*, **62**, 91–100.
North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). *Acta Cryst. A* **24**, 351–359.
Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst. A* **64**, 112–122.
Shilpa & Gowda, B. T. (2007). *Z. Naturforsch. Teil A*, **62**, 84–90.
Spek, A. L. (2003). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **36**, 7–13.
Stoe & Cie (1987). *REDU4*. Version 6.2c. Stoe & Cie GmbH, Darmstadt, Germany.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2008). E64, o420 [doi:10.1107/S1600536808000366]

2-Chloro-*N*-(3,5-dichlorophenyl)acetamide

B. T. Gowda, S. Foro and H. Fuess

Comment

In the present work, the structure of 2-chloro-*N*-(3,5-dichlorophenyl)- acetamide (35DCPCA) has been determined to study the effect of substituents on the structures of *N*-aromatic amides (Gowda *et al.*, 2007*a, b*; Gowda *et al.*, 2007). The structure of 35DCPCA (Fig. 1) is closely related to 2-chloro-*N*-(2-chlorophenyl)acetamide (2CPCA), 2-chloro-*N*-(4-chlorophenyl)acetamide (4CPCA)(Gowda *et al.*, 2007*b*), *N*-(3,5-dichlorophenyl)-acetamide (35DCPA) (Gowda *et al.*, 2007*a*) and other amides (Gowda *et al.*, 2007). The molecular skeleton is essentially planar. The bond parameters in 35DCPCA are similar to those in 2CPCA, 4CPCA, 35DCPA and other acetanilides (Gowda *et al.*, 2007*a, b*; Gowda *et al.*, 2007). The simultaneous intermolecular N—H···O and N—H···Cl hydrogen bonds (Table 1) link the molecules into chains running along the *a* axis (Fig. 2).

Experimental

The title compound was prepared according to the literature method (Shilpa & Gowda, 2007). The purity of the compound was checked by determining its melting point. It was characterized by recording its infrared and NMR spectra (Shilpa & Gowda, 2007). Single crystals of the title compound were obtained from an ethanolic solution and used for X-ray diffraction studies at room temperature.

Refinement

The CH atoms were positioned with idealized geometry using a riding model with C—H = 0.93–0.97 Å. The NH atom was located in difference map with N—H = 0.86 Å. $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H})$ values were set equal to 1.2 U_{eq} of the parent atom.

Figures




Fig. 1. Molecular structure of the title compound, showing the atom labeling scheme. The displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.




Fig. 2. Molecular packing of the title compound with hydrogen bonding shown as dashed lines.

supplementary materials

2-Chloro-N-(3,5-dichlorophenyl)acetamide

Crystal data

C ₈ H ₆ Cl ₃ NO	$F_{000} = 480$
$M_r = 238.49$	$D_x = 1.637 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$	Cu $K\alpha$ radiation
Hall symbol: -P 2yn	$\lambda = 1.54180 \text{ \AA}$
$a = 4.567 (1) \text{ \AA}$	Cell parameters from 25 reflections
$b = 24.350 (4) \text{ \AA}$	$\theta = 6.2\text{--}23.2^\circ$
$c = 8.903 (2) \text{ \AA}$	$\mu = 8.23 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 102.20 (2)^\circ$	$T = 299 (2) \text{ K}$
$V = 967.7 (3) \text{ \AA}^3$	Long plate, colourless
$Z = 4$	$0.60 \times 0.35 \times 0.13 \text{ mm}$

Data collection

Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer	$R_{\text{int}} = 0.074$
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 66.9^\circ$
Monochromator: graphite	$\theta_{\text{min}} = 3.6^\circ$
$T = 299(2) \text{ K}$	$h = 0 \rightarrow 5$
$\omega/2\theta$ scans	$k = -29 \rightarrow 23$
Absorption correction: ψ scan (North <i>et al.</i> , 1968)	$l = -10 \rightarrow 10$
$T_{\text{min}} = 0.063$, $T_{\text{max}} = 0.354$	3 standard reflections
3732 measured reflections	every 120 min
1730 independent reflections	intensity decay: 1.0%
1606 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$	

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
Least-squares matrix: full	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.098$	H-atom parameters constrained
$wR(F^2) = 0.288$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.2P)^2]$
$S = 1.39$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
1730 reflections	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} = 0.005$
118 parameters	$\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.57 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods	$\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -1.12 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$
	Extinction correction: none

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted R -factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F^2 , conventional R -factors R are based on F , with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > 2\text{sigma}(F^2)$ is used only for calculating R -factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R -factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F , and R -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	x	y	z	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
C1	0.7994 (8)	0.15227 (14)	0.4676 (4)	0.0509 (8)
C2	0.9691 (7)	0.12475 (16)	0.5925 (4)	0.0528 (9)
H2	0.9617	0.1353	0.6921	0.063*
C3	1.1486 (9)	0.08169 (15)	0.5677 (5)	0.0585 (10)
C4	1.1657 (10)	0.06515 (16)	0.4216 (5)	0.0639 (10)
H4	1.2884	0.0362	0.4059	0.077*
C5	0.9960 (10)	0.09289 (17)	0.3011 (5)	0.0615 (10)
C6	0.8060 (8)	0.13604 (15)	0.3183 (4)	0.0553 (9)
H6	0.6881	0.1533	0.2336	0.066*
C7	0.4446 (7)	0.23062 (14)	0.4049 (4)	0.0466 (8)
C8	0.3181 (8)	0.27475 (16)	0.4947 (4)	0.0539 (9)
H8A	0.4787	0.2992	0.5425	0.065*
H8B	0.2388	0.2575	0.5758	0.065*
N1	0.6266 (7)	0.19666 (13)	0.5008 (3)	0.0513 (8)
H1N	0.6394	0.2030	0.5970	0.062*
O1	0.3874 (5)	0.22828 (12)	0.2651 (3)	0.0560 (8)
Cl1	1.3597 (3)	0.04771 (4)	0.72484 (15)	0.0797 (6)
Cl2	1.0161 (4)	0.07364 (6)	0.11529 (14)	0.0948 (6)
Cl3	0.0336 (2)	0.31342 (4)	0.37802 (10)	0.0626 (5)

Atomic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
C1	0.0514 (16)	0.0467 (17)	0.0520 (18)	-0.0028 (13)	0.0048 (14)	0.0006 (14)
C2	0.0540 (19)	0.0512 (18)	0.0503 (18)	-0.0072 (14)	0.0042 (14)	-0.0012 (14)
C3	0.057 (2)	0.0451 (17)	0.068 (2)	-0.0029 (14)	-0.0001 (17)	0.0021 (16)
C4	0.073 (2)	0.0481 (17)	0.071 (2)	0.0086 (17)	0.0157 (19)	-0.0015 (18)
C5	0.073 (2)	0.055 (2)	0.059 (2)	-0.0001 (16)	0.0189 (17)	-0.0056 (16)
C6	0.064 (2)	0.0501 (19)	0.051 (2)	-0.0011 (15)	0.0115 (16)	-0.0001 (15)
C7	0.0435 (15)	0.0520 (17)	0.0421 (16)	-0.0029 (13)	0.0038 (12)	0.0003 (13)
C8	0.0540 (18)	0.062 (2)	0.0406 (17)	0.0106 (15)	-0.0004 (13)	-0.0021 (14)
N1	0.0544 (15)	0.0573 (16)	0.0382 (14)	0.0062 (12)	0.0010 (12)	-0.0012 (12)

supplementary materials

O1	0.0566 (14)	0.0654 (16)	0.0413 (13)	0.0070 (11)	-0.0002 (10)	-0.0017 (11)
Cl1	0.0871 (9)	0.0616 (8)	0.0782 (9)	0.0179 (5)	-0.0100 (7)	0.0057 (5)
Cl2	0.1422 (14)	0.0816 (10)	0.0661 (9)	0.0296 (7)	0.0342 (8)	-0.0070 (6)
Cl3	0.0580 (7)	0.0717 (8)	0.0538 (8)	0.0174 (4)	0.0023 (5)	0.0058 (4)

Geometric parameters (\AA , $^\circ$)


C1—C2	1.387 (5)	C5—Cl2	1.740 (4)
C1—C6	1.393 (5)	C6—H6	0.9300
C1—N1	1.406 (5)	C7—O1	1.218 (4)
C2—C3	1.377 (5)	C7—N1	1.343 (5)
C2—H2	0.9300	C7—C8	1.524 (5)
C3—C4	1.380 (6)	C8—Cl3	1.756 (3)
C3—Cl1	1.732 (4)	C8—H8A	0.9700
C4—C5	1.363 (6)	C8—H8B	0.9700
C4—H4	0.9300	N1—H1N	0.8600
C5—C6	1.392 (5)		
C2—C1—C6	120.5 (3)	C5—C6—C1	117.3 (3)
C2—C1—N1	116.5 (3)	C5—C6—H6	121.3
C6—C1—N1	123.0 (3)	C1—C6—H6	121.3
C3—C2—C1	119.3 (3)	O1—C7—N1	126.2 (3)
C3—C2—H2	120.3	O1—C7—C8	123.1 (3)
C1—C2—H2	120.3	N1—C7—C8	110.7 (3)
C2—C3—C4	121.9 (3)	C7—C8—Cl3	112.5 (2)
C2—C3—Cl1	118.9 (3)	C7—C8—H8A	109.1
C4—C3—Cl1	119.3 (3)	Cl3—C8—H8A	109.1
C5—C4—C3	117.5 (4)	C7—C8—H8B	109.1
C5—C4—H4	121.3	Cl3—C8—H8B	109.1
C3—C4—H4	121.3	H8A—C8—H8B	107.8
C4—C5—C6	123.5 (3)	C7—N1—C1	129.7 (3)
C4—C5—Cl2	118.6 (3)	C7—N1—H1N	115.1
C6—C5—Cl2	117.9 (3)	C1—N1—H1N	115.1
C6—C1—C2—C3	1.1 (5)	Cl2—C5—C6—C1	-177.9 (3)
N1—C1—C2—C3	-178.5 (3)	C2—C1—C6—C5	-2.2 (5)
C1—C2—C3—C4	0.3 (6)	N1—C1—C6—C5	177.4 (3)
C1—C2—C3—Cl1	179.9 (3)	O1—C7—C8—Cl3	10.7 (5)
C2—C3—C4—C5	-0.4 (6)	N1—C7—C8—Cl3	-170.4 (3)
Cl1—C3—C4—C5	179.9 (3)	O1—C7—N1—C1	2.0 (6)
C3—C4—C5—C6	-0.9 (6)	C8—C7—N1—C1	-176.8 (3)
C3—C4—C5—Cl2	179.2 (3)	C2—C1—N1—C7	179.5 (3)
C4—C5—C6—C1	2.1 (6)	C6—C1—N1—C7	0.0 (6)

Hydrogen-bond geometry (\AA , $^\circ$)

$D—\text{H}\cdots A$	$D—\text{H}$	$\text{H}\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D—\text{H}\cdots A$
N1—H1N···O1 ⁱ	0.86	2.37	3.019 (4)	133
N1—H1N···Cl3 ⁱ	0.86	2.68	3.482 (3)	156

Symmetry codes: (i) $x+1/2, -y+1/2, z+1/2$.

Fig. 1



supplementary materials

Fig. 2

